Content available at: https://www.ipinnovative.com/open-access-journals

Journal of Contemporary Orthodontics

Journal homepage: https://www.jco-ios.org/

Editorial

From utilitarian tool to synergistic ally: The ascendance of artificial intelligence as orthodontic cognitive co-pilot

Pritam Mohanty[1]*

[1]Dept. of Orthodontics and Dentofacial Orthopaedics, Institute of Dental Sciences, SOA Deemed to be University, Bhubaneswar, Odisha, India.

Received: 04-10-2025; **Accepted:** 07-10-2025; **Available Online**: 14-10-2025

1. Introduction

Orthodontics has traditionally relied on physical models, manual cephalometry, and the subjective judgment of clinicians to guide treatment decisions. However, the advent of digital technologies such as cone beam computed tomography (CBCT), intraoral scanning, and computer-aided design has profoundly revolutionized the workflows within the field. These advancements have not only improved the accuracy of diagnostics and treatment planning but have also enhanced the overall efficiency and effectiveness of orthodontic care. Now, with the emergence of artificial intelligence (AI), we are entering a transformative era that adds a compelling new dimension to orthodontics. Unlike traditional static tools, AI systems possess the remarkable ability to learn from vast amounts of data, make predictions, and adapt to evolving information. As we embrace these technological advancements, it is crucial to recognize that AI is not merely a tool to be used in conjunction with existing methods. Rather, it should be seen as an indispensable copilot in the orthodontic process, working alongside clinicians to enhance their expertise and improve decision-making, reshaping the ecosystem fundamentally.

2. AI as Orthodontic Navigator

2.1. Automated cephalometric analysis

Cephalometric tracing is a fundamental aspect of orthodontics, playing a crucial role as a decision support partner in treatment planning. A comprehensive meta-analysis[1] reported mean localization errors of approximately 1.39 mm, demonstrating that AI systems operate well within the clinically acceptable threshold of 2 mm. This high level of precision not only enhances the reliability of diagnostic outcomes but also has the potential to streamline the decision making. Jiang et al. validated an AI system capable of reliable landmark localization across malocclusion types and radiograph sources.[2] Recent technological advances have significantly expanded the capabilities of craniofacial analysis beyond traditional two-dimensional methods. One notable development is the three-dimensional surface cephalometry system created by Tanikawa et al.[3] This innovative system employs sophisticated mesh fitting algorithms, which are enhanced by AI for the detection of key anatomical landmarks.

Automated cephalometric software significantly reduces tracing time when compared to traditional methods. However, it is important to note that some discrepancies still exist.[4] Similarly, commercial AI platforms (Web Ceph, Autoceph, CephX) improve repeatability but still deviate from expert consensus in critical parameters like mandibular plane angle.[5,6]

2.2. Malocclusion classification and imaging support

Convolutional neural networks (CNNs) have been applied to classify orthodontic photographs by orientation and type of malocclusion.[7] AI is highly effective in segmenting teeth and jaws from CBCT scans, dramatically accelerating both

*Corresponding author: Pritam Mohanty
Email: drpritammohanty@gmail.com

https://doi.org/10.18231/j.jco.90072.1760418295

diagnosis and model preparation. Reviews clearly highlight AI's diagnostic support capabilities, but it is crucial to recognize that its generalizability across diverse populations remains a significant limitation.[8]

3. AI as Co-planner and its Role in Treatment Simulation

3.1. Predictive modelling of tooth movement

Effective orthodontic outcomes rely on the clinician and AI acting as co-planners to accurately anticipate the biomechanical responses of teeth when subjected to external forces. AI has demonstrated a high level of accuracy in predicting the movement of anteriors, its performance tends to decline for more complex movements, such as the rotation or extrusion of molars. This variability in prediction accuracy highlights the need for continuous refinement of AI algorithms and a deeper understanding of the intricate biomechanics involved in orthodontic treatment.[9] This underscores AI's co-planner role: it provides projections, but orthodontists must interpret feasibility, compliance, and biological variability.

3.2. Integrated decision-support platforms

Li et al. introduced a platform that merges reinforcement learning with explainable AI for orthodontic-orthognathic planning.[4] The system balances various goals, including aesthetic improvement, occlusion, and stability, providing clinicians with alternative options.

3.3. Bridging clinical expertise and computational artificial intelligence: A dual perspective

The ascendancy of artificial intelligence in orthodontics is no simple technology trend but rather the convergence of clinical insight and computational intelligence. According to an AI expert, AI systems that support orthodontists run on top of deep convolutional networks, graph-based learning paradigms, and transformer architectures—each set up to identify and understand weak spatial and morphological patterns that might fall beyond human perception.[10] The models do not act as single black boxes; they continue to be refined by inter-disciplinary feedback cycles from clinicians and engineers.

In such AI copilot development pipelines, the role of the orthodontist is not just that of a user—they act as domain supervisor. Datasets of landmarks must first be annotated with clinical accuracy, and such expert annotations constitute the basis on which neural networks train themselves for diagnostic relevance.**Error! Reference source not found.** The eventual workflow demonstrates the principle of human-in-the-loop learning such that each iteration is enhanced with computational refinement as well as clinical validation. This equilibrium between algorithmic optimality and biological understanding guarantees that AI becomes a copilot rather than a disembodied automation system. Joint frameworks increasingly utilize federated learning—a privacy-enhancing method that allows AI systems to train on distributed orthodontic datasets without central access to protected patient information. This not only enhances data protection but also develops a shared large-scale intelligence across institutions.[11],**Error! Reference source not found.**

The partnership of the orthodontist with the software engineer is a new future of clinical innovation—at the interface between medicine and mathematics, and human skill and machine intellect. The goal is not to replace the orthodontist's judgment but to extend it with data-based rationality, so that orthodontics becomes a precision-guided specialty of equal measure founded on art, science, and computation.**Error! Reference source not found.**,**Error! Reference source not found.**

4. AI as a Clinical Ally

Treatment prediction visual aids convert complex clinical data into intuitive formats, helping patients understand their treatment journey. By illustrating the implications of different treatment options, simulations facilitate shared decision-making, boost patient confidence, and reduce any anxiety or uncertainty about the process. These AI-driven approaches transform patient engagement from a passive process into an interactive and collaborative experience.9[9] Nevertheless, studies stress that AI lacks empathy—patients respond better to clinician reassurance than to automated messages. The orthodontist remains central to trust and motivation.**Error! Reference source not found.**

5. Ethical and Professional Considerations

Accuracy and reliability are major concerns; even when overall error rates are low, deviations in key parameters (e.g., ANB angle, mandibular length) can mislead treatment plans, requiring clinician validation.[16] Bias and generalizability are significant because models trained on homogeneous datasets often underperform in underrepresented populations, which can perpetuate disparities.[9] Orthodontists retain medico-legal responsibility, even in instances where errors may arise from the involvement of AI systems. Furthermore, the imperative of data security cannot be overstated; patient imaging and personal information necessitate stringent safeguards, particularly in the context of cloud-based AI platforms.[17]

6. Conclusion

AI in orthodontics has transitioned from a simple tool to a powerful one that enhances, advises, and collaborates with clinicians. It significantly boosts efficiency, accuracy, and patient engagement, while orthodontists remain essential for making nuanced decisions, addressing ethical considerations, and providing empathy. By fully embracing AI as a copilot, the orthodontic specialty will advance toward more precise, personalized, and patient-centred care.

7. Source of Funding

None.

8. Conflict of Interest

None.

References

1. Hendrickx J, Gracea RS, Vanheers M, Winderickx N, Preda F, Shujaat S, et al. Can artificial intelligence-driven cephalometric analysis replace manual tracing? A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Eur J Orthod.*2024;46(4). DOI: 10.1093/ejo/cjae029
2. Jiang F, Guo Y, Yang C, Zhou Y, Lin Y, Cheng F. et al. Artificial intelligence system for automated landmark localization and analysis of cephalometry. *Dentomaxillofac Radiol*. 2023;52(1):20220081.DOI: 10.1259/dmfr.20220081
3. Tanikawa C, Nakamura H, Mimura T, Uemura Y, Yamashiro T. Development of artificial intelligence-supported automatic three-dimensional surface cephalometry. *Orthod Craniofac Res.* 2025;28(4):636–46. DOI: 10.1111/ocr.12914
4. Li Z, Wang L. Multi-task reinforcement learning and explainable AI-Driven platform for personalized planning and clinical decision support in orthodontic-orthognathic treatment. *Sci Rep.* 2025;15(1):24502. DOI: 10.1038/s41598-025-09236-z
5. Mercier J-P, Rossi C, Sanchez IN, Renovales ID, Sahagún PM-P, Templier L. Reliability and accuracy of Artificial intelligence-based software for cephalometric diagnosis. A diagnostic study. *BMC Oral Health*. 2024;24(1):1309. DOI: 10.1186/s12903-024-05097-6
6. Baig N, Gyasudeen KS, Bhattacharjee T, Chaudhry J, Prasad S. Comparative evaluation of commercially available AI-based cephalometric tracing programs. *BMC Oral Health.* 2024;24(1):1241. DOI: 10.1186/s12903-024-05032-9
7. Thurzo A, Kurilová V, Varga I. Artificial intelligence in orthodontic smart application for treatment coaching and its impact on clinical performance of patients monitored with AI-TeleHealth system. *Healthcare (Basel).* 2021;9(12):1695. DOI: 10.3390/healthcare9121695
8. Ryu J, Lee Y-S, Mo S-P, Lim K, Jung S-K, Kim T-W. Application of deep learning artificial intelligence technique to the classification of clinical orthodontic photos. *BMC Oral Health.* 2022;22(1):454. DOI: 10.1186/s12903-022-02466-x
9. Dipalma G, Inchingolo AD, Inchingolo AM, Piras F, Carpentiere V, Garofoli G, et al. Artificial intelligence and its clinical applications in orthodontics: A systematic review. *Diagnostics (Basel).* 2023;13(24). DOI: 10.3390/diagnostics13243677
10. Jeong SH, Yun JP, Yeom HG, Kim HK, Kim BC. Deep-Learning-Based Detection of Cranio-Spinal Differences between Skeletal Classification Using Cephalometric Radiography. *Diagnostics*,2021; *11*(4),591. DOI:10.3390/diagnostics11040591
11. Polizzi A, Nucci L, Serra S, Isola G, Leonardi R. Accuracy of artificial intelligence fully-automatic cephalometric analysis in linear and angular measurement: a critical scoping review. Semin Orthod. 2025;31(4):1-7. DOI: 10.1053/j.sodo.2025.08.008
12. Samek W, Montavon G, Vedaldi A, Hansen LK, Müller K-R. Explainable AI: Interpreting, Explaining and Visualizing Deep Learning. Springer; 2019
13. Gracea RS, Winderickx N, Vanheers M, Hendrickx J, Preda F, Shujaat S, Cadenas de Llano-Pérula M, & Jacobs R. Artificial intelligence for orthodontic diagnosis and treatment planning: A scoping review. *Journal of Dentistry*.2024;105442:152 DOI: 10.1016/j.jdent.2024.105442
14. Naureen S. Limitations of Artificial Intelligence in Orthodontics. Literature Review. J Bahria Uni Med Dental Coll. 2025;15(1):53-59. DOI: 10.51985/JBUMDC2024452
15. Tatineni S. Federated Learning for Privacy-Preserving Data Analysis: Applications and Challenges. *International Journal of Computer Engineering and Technology.* 2018; 9(6): 270-277.
16. Bor S, Ciğerim SÇ, Kotan S. Comparison of AI-assisted cephalometric analysis and orthodontist-performed digital tracing analysis. *Prog Orthod*. 2024;25(1):41. DOI: 10.1186/s40510-024-00539-x
17. Murshida PK, Roveena PM, Imad IM, Raj AS, Sharvari DJ, Aparnna D. AI-Assisted Treatment Planning in Othodontics: Predicting Tooth Movement. *J Contemp Clin Pract.* 2025;11(6):225-9. DOI : 10.61336/jccp/25-06-34

Cite this article: Mohanty P. From utilitarian tool to synergistic ally: The ascendance of artificial intelligence as orthodontic cognitive co-pilot . *J Contemp Orthod*. 2025;9(4):426–428.